

Lecture 4: Mal'cev completion

Now we're finally ready to define the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -pro-unipotent étale fundamental group (oid) of a smooth variety in characteristic 0. We will do this in two different ways. For today, our perspective is that we want to start from the profinite ~~group~~ étale fundamental group and turn it into a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -pro-unipotent group in some universal way. Informally, it is informative to think of this construction as defining a "tensor product"

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}; x)$$

Of course, this doesn't make sense when $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}; x)$ is non-abelian. The way we make sense of this "non-abelian tensor product" is by an operation known as Mal'cev completion, which assigns to any finitely generated profinite group Π a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -pro-unipotent group $\Pi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, with the property that the graded pieces of the descending central series of $\Pi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are given by $g r_i \Pi_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} g r_i \Pi$. is abelian

§ Mal'cev completion

We begin with some conventions on topologies. Let F be a topological field of characteristic 0. If Z is an affine F -scheme of finite type, embedded as a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{A}_F^n$, then we endow $Z(F) \subseteq F^n$ with the subspace topology. Morphisms of affine F -schemes of finite type are all continuous in this topology, so in particular the topology on $Z(F)$ is independent of the choice of affine embedding. In general, if Z is an affine F -scheme (not necessarily of finite type), then we can write Z as a cofiltered limit of affine F -schemes of finite type Z_i , and endow $Z(F) = \varprojlim_i Z_i(F)$ with the inverse limit topology. This is again independent of any choices.

If U is an affine group scheme, this makes $U(F)$ into a topological group.

Using this, we can define Mal'cev completion in a purely abstract way.

Definition: Let F be a topological field of characteristic 0 and Γ a topological group.

Then the functor

$$\text{pro-}\underline{\text{Unip}}_F \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$$

$$U \longmapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, U(F))$$

is representable. We call the representing object the Mal'cev completion Γ_F of Γ .

Explicitly, this means the following. The Mal'cev completion Γ_F is a pro-unipotent group over F and comes with a continuous group homomorphism

$$\phi: \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma_F(F).$$

This satisfies the following universal property: for any pro-unipotent group U/F and any continuous homomorphism $f: \Gamma \longrightarrow U(F)$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\hat{f}: \Gamma_F \longrightarrow U$ of pro-unipotent groups such that

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\phi} \Gamma_F(F) \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} U(F)$$

$\searrow \quad \quad \quad \nearrow$
 f

commutes.

Of course, we should justify why the Mal'cev completion exists. (Once it exists, it is unique up to unique isomorphism and functorial with respect to continuous group homomorphisms by the usual category-theoretic facts.) For this, since the category of pro-unipotent groups is the pro-category of unipotent groups, what we are wanting to show is that the functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Unip}}_F & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Set}} \\ U & \longmapsto & \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, U(F)) \end{array}$$

is pro-representable. But this holds by the pro-representability theorem ($\underline{\text{Unip}}_F$ is essentially small, has finite limits and the functor in question preserves finite limits).

§ Explicit description of Mal'cev completion

There are a couple of ways to describe Mal'cev completion explicitly, of which we give just one. Suppose that Γ is a finitely generated profinite group, and that $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Define

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma] = \varprojlim_N \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma/N],$$

where N ranges over all open normal subgroups of Γ . $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$ is a topological $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra, coming with a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra homomorphism

$\varepsilon: \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ sending all elements of Γ to 1. The kernel of ε is called the augmentation ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$, and we write I^n for the closure of the n^{th} power of I . Each quotient $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]/I^{n+1}$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module, so we can define a complete $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra

$$\mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma] := \varprojlim_n \left(\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]/I^{n+1} \right)$$

Proposition: There is a natural comultiplication on $\mathbb{Q}_p[[\Pi]]$ making it into an I -adically complete, ^{cocommutative} Hopf algebra, whose associated pro-unipotent group is the Mal'cev completion $\Pi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of Π .

Proof: omitted.

§ The $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -pro-unipotent étale fundamental groupoid

We give our first definition of the pro-unipotent étale fundamental groupoid.

Definition: Let k be a field of characteristic 0 with algebraic closure $\bar{k}$, ~~and~~ Let X/k be a smooth variety, and let x be a geometric point of $X_{\bar{k}}$. We define the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -pro-unipotent étale fundamental group of $(X_{\bar{k}}, x)$ to be

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X_{\bar{k}}; x) := \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}; x)_{\mathbb{Q}_p},$$

i.e. the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Mal'cev completion of the profinite fundamental group. (There is a similar definition for groupoids; we omit it here.)

We can be quite explicit about the structure of the pro-unipotent group. For this, we need a lemma:

Lemma: Let Γ be a finitely generated discrete group, with profinite completion $\hat{\Gamma}$. Then the natural map $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof: Unwinding definitions, the lemma amounts to the claim that for any $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -pro-unipotent group U and any homomorphism $\Gamma \xrightarrow{f} U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, there is a unique continuous group homomorphism $\hat{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ extending f . It suffices to verify this in the case $U = U_{n,m}$. We define for $k \geq 0$ the subgroup $U_{n,m}(p^{-k}\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of $U_{n,m}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to consist of the matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^{-k}* & p^{-2k}* & p^{-3k}* & & \\ & 1 & p^{-k}* & p^{-2k}* & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & p^{-k}* & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & p^{-k}* & \ddots \\ & 0 & & & 1 & \ddots \\ & & & & & \ddots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with all $*$'s in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Each $U_{n,m}(p^{-k}\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is profinite, and $U_{n,m}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \bigcup_k U_{n,m}(p^{-k}\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with the induced topology. Any homomorphism $f: \Gamma \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ must factor through some $U_{n,m}(p^{-k}\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and hence must factor uniquely through $\hat{\Gamma}$ as $U_{n,m}(p^{-k}\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is profinite. This is what we wanted to show $\square$

Here is how we will use the lemma. Suppose that X/k is a smooth variety (k of characteristic 0, as always) and choose some $x \in X(\bar{k})$ to use as a geometric basepoint. By the Lefschetz Principle, there is some subfield $k_0 \subseteq \bar{k}$ such that X and x are defined over k_0 and k_0 embeds in $\mathbb{C}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X_{\bar{k}}; x) &\cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}; \mathbb{Q})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} && \text{(definition)} \\
 &\cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\mathbb{C}}; x)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} && \text{(base change)} \\
 &\cong (\hat{\pi}_1(X(\mathbb{C}); x))_{\mathbb{Q}_p} && \text{(Riemann existence)} \\
 &\cong \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); x)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} && \text{(lemma)}
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X_{\bar{k}}; x)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Mal'cev completion of the topological fundamental group $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}); x)$ with its discrete topology.

Corollary: $\pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X_{\bar{k}}; x)$ is topologically finitely generated.

Corollary: If X/k is a smooth curve, which is ^{the} a complement of a divisor D of degree r in a smooth projective curve $\bar{X}$ of genus g , then $\pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X_{\bar{k}}; x)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -pro-unipotent group on $2g+r$ generators subject to one relation $\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r c_j = 1$.