

Lecture 3: Unipotent and pro-unipotent groups

The profinite étale fundamental group (oid) of a scheme X is a rich and subtle invariant but correspondingly hard to study. For example, if $X = \mathbb{P}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, then we know $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X; x) \cong \hat{F}_2$ is profinite free on 2 generators. But there is also a natural action of $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X; x)$, and we do not know what this action is in any explicit sense (though there are some things one can say).

The problem is essentially that $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X; x)$ is very non-abelian. Specifically let $\Gamma \cdot \Pi$ denote the descending central series of Π , defined by $\Gamma^0 \Pi = \Pi$,

$$\Gamma^2 \Pi = \overline{[\Pi, \Pi]}, \quad \text{closure of subgroup generated by commutators,}$$

and $\Gamma^{n+1} \Pi = \overline{[\Gamma^n \Pi, \Pi]}$ for $n \geq 1$.

This gives a decreasing sequence of normal subgroups

$$\Gamma = \Gamma^1 \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma^2 \Gamma \triangleright \dots$$

in which each quotient $\Gamma^n \Gamma / \Gamma^{n+1} \Gamma$ is abelian and each

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma^n \Gamma / \Gamma^{n+1} \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma / \Gamma^{n+1} \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma / \Gamma^n \Gamma \rightarrow 1$$

is a central extension, i.e. $\Gamma^n \Gamma / \Gamma^{n+1} \Gamma$ lies in the centre of $\Gamma / \Gamma^{n+1} \Gamma$.

So each quotient $\Gamma / \Gamma^{n+1} \Gamma$ is nilpotent, so not too far from being abelian.

However, even for $\Gamma = \hat{F}_2$, we can have

$$\bigcap_n \Gamma^n \Gamma \neq 1 \quad (\text{see the problems sheets})$$

so there is more of Γ than is captured by the descending central series.

So, we want to replace the profinite étale fundamental group by something which is easier to study. This will be the \mathbb{Q}_p -pro-unipotent étale fundamental groupoid, which is a variant of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ which is " \mathbb{Q}_p -linear" and only mildly non-abelian." In this lecture, we make precise the type of group we are aiming for.

Unipotent groups

Let F be a field of characteristic 0. A representation V of an affine algebraic group U/F is called unipotent just when there exists a finite U -stable filtration

$$0 = \text{Fil}_{-1}V \leq \text{Fil}_0 V \leq \text{Fil}_1 V \leq \dots \leq \text{Fil}_n V = V$$

such that the U -action on each graded piece $\frac{\text{Fil}_i V}{\text{Fil}_{i-1} V}$ is trivial.

Definition: An affine algebraic group U/F is called unipotent just when, equivalently:

- 1) Every non-zero representation $V^{\otimes q}$ of U has a non-zero fixed vector: $V^U \neq 0$.
- 2) Every representation of U is unipotent.
- 3) U is a closed subgroup of the standard unipotent group

$$U_{n,m} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq GL_m$$

i.e. strictly upper triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal.

for some m .

Examples

1. $G_a = U_{n,2} = \{(1^*)\}$ is unipotent.
2. More generally, a finite-dimensional F -vector space V can be viewed as an affine space over F , specifically as the space $A(V) := \text{Spec}(F \text{im}^\circ(V^*))$

Equivalently, $A(V)$ is the affine scheme represented by the functor

$$\{F\text{-algebras}\} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$$

$$A \longmapsto A \otimes_F V.$$

The additive group law on each $A \otimes_F V$ determines (via Yoneda) a group law on $A(V)$, making it into an affine ~~algebraic~~ group $G(V)$, called the vector group.

Proposition: The vector groups are exactly the abelian unipotent groups. The functor

$$\underline{\text{Vec}}_F = \{f.d. \text{ vector spaces}\} \longrightarrow \{\text{vector groups}\}$$

$$V \longmapsto G(V)$$

is an equivalence. N.B. $G(V) \cong G_a^{\dim(V)}$

3. For a non-abelian example, consider the Heisenberg group $Un_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

There is a homomorphism

$$U_{n_3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^2$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ & 1 & b \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (a, b)$$

and a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow U_{n_3}$$
$$c \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

making U_{n_3} into a central extension

$$\frac{1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \longrightarrow U_{n_3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^2 \longrightarrow 1}{}$$

Something similar happens in general.

Let U be a unipotent group, and

$$U = \Gamma^1 U \supseteq \Gamma^2 U \supseteq \Gamma^3 U \supseteq \dots$$

its descending central series. Then

1. The descending central series is finite, i.e.

$$\Gamma^n U = 1 \text{ for } n \gg 0.$$

2. Each partial quotient

$$V_n := \Gamma^n U / \Gamma^{n+1} U$$

is abelian and unipotent, so a vector group.

3. Each extension

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma^n U / \Gamma^{n+1} U \rightarrow U / \Gamma^{n+1} U \rightarrow U / \Gamma^n U \rightarrow 1$$

\Downarrow \Downarrow \Downarrow
 V_n U_n U_{n-1}

is a central extension.

So we've seen (or at least asserted) the following.

Proposition: ("Unipotent groups are iterated central extensions of vector groups")

The category Unipt_F of unipotent groups is the smallest subcategory of affine algebraic groups containing the vector groups and closed under central extensions. Unipt_F is closed under all extensions, subobjects, quotients and all finite limits.

Lie Algebras

The structure of unipotent groups can be understood very explicitly via their Lie algebras.

Definition: Let \mathfrak{u} be a Lie algebra over F . Its descending central series is the sequence of Lie ideals defined by

$$\Gamma^0 \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}, \quad \Gamma^{n+1} \mathfrak{u} = [\Gamma^n \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}] \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

\mathfrak{u} is called nilpotent just when $\Gamma^n \mathfrak{u} = 0$ for $n >> 0$.

If U is a unipotent group over F , then

$$\Gamma^n \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{u}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(\Gamma^n U) \quad (\text{in fact, this is an equality})$$

so $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{u})$ is nilpotent.

Theorem: The functor $U \mapsto \text{Lie}(U)$ is an equivalence of categories

$$\{\text{unipotent groups}/F\} \rightarrow \{\text{finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras}/F\}$$

We won't prove this, but we will at least say what the quasi-inverse functor is. For this, we need the BAKER-CAMPBELL-HAUSDORFF series

$$BCH(x,y) = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x,y] + \frac{1}{12}[x,[x,y]] - \frac{1}{12}[y,[x,y]] + \dots$$

This is the power series in non-commuting variables x, y defined by

$$BCH(x,y) = \log(\exp(x) \cdot \exp(y))$$

where \log and \exp are the usual power series.

Fact: $BCH(x,y)$ can be written as an infinite \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of iterated commutators of x and y , the first few terms of which are as above. (The commutator of two elements a, b in an associative algebra is $[a,b] := ab - ba$.)

If \mathfrak{u} is a finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra over F , we can use the BCH series to define a new binary operation on \mathfrak{u} , the BCH product, given by

$$u \circ v := \text{BCH}(u, v) = u + v + \frac{1}{2}[u, v] \\ + \frac{1}{12}[u, [u, v]] - \frac{1}{12}[v, [u, v]] + \dots$$

where the brackets are to be interpreted as the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{u} . Note that the sum on the right has only finitely many non-zero terms, by nilpotence of \mathfrak{u} , so $\text{BCH}(u, v)$ is well-defined.

Lemma: The BCH product makes \mathfrak{u} into a group, with identity $0 \in \mathfrak{u}$ and inverses $u^{-1} := -u$.

Proof: Suppose first that $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{M}_m}$ is the Lie algebra of strictly upper-triangular $m \times m$ matrices. Then

$$u \circ v = \log(\exp(u) \cdot \exp(v))$$

where \log and \exp are interpreted inside the algebra of $m \times m$ matrices. So \exp gives an isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{M}_m}, \circ) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{M}_m(F), \text{matrix multiplication})$$

so $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{M}_m}, \circ)$ is a group. In general, it can be

A general finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{U} can be embedded as a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{H}_m , and this makes (\mathfrak{U}, \circ) into a subgroup of (\mathfrak{H}_m, \circ) . \square

More generally, for any F -algebra Λ , the BCH series defines a group structure on $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{U}$, functionally in Λ . So this induces a group law on the affine space $A(\mathfrak{U})$ associated to \mathfrak{U} , making it into an affine algebraic group $G(\mathfrak{U})$. It is not too hard to see that $G(\mathfrak{U})$ is unipotent (e.g. by embedding \mathfrak{U} inside \mathfrak{H}_m).
The functor $\mathfrak{U} \mapsto G(\mathfrak{U})$ is the desired quasi-inverse to $\mathfrak{U} \mapsto \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U})$.

Consequences of the equivalence

1. If U is unipotent, then $U(F)$ is a uniquely divisible group, i.e. for any $u \in U(F)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a unique element $u^{\frac{1}{n}} \in U(F)$ s.t.

$$(u^{\frac{1}{n}})^n = u.$$

Proof: We may suppose that $U = G(x)$. Then $u^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot u$ is the unique element which works. \square

2. If $f: U' \rightarrow U$ is a homomorphism of unipotent groups, TFAE

- i. f is surjective on underlying topological spaces
- ii. f is dominant
- iii. f is faithfully flat
- iv. f is smooth
- v. f is surjective of F -points ($f: U'(F) \xrightarrow{\text{surjective}} U(F)$)
- v': f is surjective on Λ -points for any F -algebra Λ
- vi. f is split as a morphism of F -schemes.

Proof: We may assume $f = G(g)$ for a $\xrightarrow{\text{homeo}}$ morphism $g: \mathfrak{u}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}$ of f.d. nilpotent Lie algebras. So, as a morphism of F -schemes, f factors as

$$A(u') \rightarrow A(\text{im}(g)) \leftarrow A(u)$$

↑ ↑
 affine projection affine inclusion
 between affine spaces between affine spaces.

If g is surjective, then f is an affine projection,
 so i-vi all hold. And if g is not surjective,
 then i-vi do not hold. \square .

Remark: i-iv are equivalent for any connected homomorphism of affine algebraic groups in characteristic 0. But v and vi are special to unipotent groups, e.g. the squaring map

$$[2]: G_{m,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow G_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$$

is surjective, but the map on \mathbb{Q} -points

$$[2]: \mathbb{Q}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times$$

is not.

Hopf algebras

The other way we can study unipotent groups is through their Hopf algebras. If U is an affine algebraic group, there are two natural ways to associate a Hopf algebra to U , namely:

1. the affine ring, or algebra of functions, $\mathcal{O}(U)$
2. the group algebra $F[U] = \mathcal{O}(U)^*$

Remark: These are closely analogous to the two ways to associate a Hopf algebra to any finite group G : either the algebra of functions F^G or the group algebra $F[G]$.

Some care is required when working with group algebras $F[U]$, which are not ^{quasi}Hopf algebras in the usual sense. The problem comes from the fact that $(\mathcal{O}(U) \otimes_F \mathcal{O}(U))^* \neq \mathcal{O}(U)^* \otimes_F \mathcal{O}(U)^*$ in general, so the multiplication on $\mathcal{O}(U)$ does not dualize to a comultiplication $\# : F[U] \rightarrow F[U] \otimes_F F[U]$.

Instead, $F[U]$ will be a Hopf algebra in the category of pro-finite dimensional vector spaces.

Definition: The category $\text{pro-}\underline{\text{Vec}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ of pro-finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F} is, by definition, the pro-category of the category $\underline{\text{Vec}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ of finite-dimensional vector spaces. So objects of $\text{pro-}\underline{\text{Vec}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are formal cofiltered limits

$$\underset{i \in I}{\text{"lim" }} V_i$$

of finite-dimensional vector spaces. There is a completed tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$ (or $\hat{\oplus}$) on $\text{pro-}\underline{\text{Vec}}_{\mathbb{F}}$:

$$\underset{i \in I}{\text{"lim" }} V_i \underset{\mathbb{F}}{\hat{\otimes}} \underset{j \in J}{\text{"lim" }} W_j := \underset{(i,j) \in I \times J}{\text{"lim" }} (V_i \underset{\mathbb{F}}{\otimes} W_j).$$

To understand this category, we use

Proposition: $\text{pro-}\underline{\text{Vec}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is dual to the category $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ of all vector spaces, taking $\hat{\otimes}$ to \otimes .

Proof: In one direction, if $V = \underset{i \in I}{\text{"lim" }} V_i \in \text{pro-}\underline{\text{Vec}}_{\mathbb{F}}$,

we define $V^* := \underset{i \in I}{\varinjlim} V_i^*$ (colimit taken in $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{F}}$).

Conversely, if $W \in \underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, we define

$W^* := \underset{i \in I}{\text{"lim" }} W_i^*$, where W_i ranges over finite-dimensional subspaces of W . It is easy to check these are quasi-inverse constructions. \square

Corollary: pro-Vec_F ≅ (Mod_F)^{op} is an F-linear abelian tensor category, satisfying Grothendieck's axioms AB3+AB4 (existence + exactness of small coproducts) and AB3*+AB4*+AB5* (existence + exactness of small products and cofiltered limits).

! In pro-Vec_F, it is the cofiltered limits which are well-behaved, not the filtered colimits. This is the opposite of what happens in Mod_F.

We can use this to make sense of what kind of object the group algebra $F[\mathbb{G}]$ is.

Definition: A complete Hopf algebra is a Hopf algebra object in the tensor category (pro-Vec_F, $\hat{\otimes}$, F). i.e. it is a pro-finite dimensional vector space H with:

- multiplication $\mu: H \hat{\otimes} H \longrightarrow H$
- unit $\eta: F \longrightarrow H$
- comultiplication $\Delta: H \longrightarrow H \hat{\otimes} H$
- counit $\epsilon: H \longrightarrow F$
- antipode $S: H \longrightarrow H$

Satisfying the usual list of axioms for Hopf algebras.

So $F[[U]] = \mathcal{O}(U)^*$ is a cocommutative complete Hopf algebra (with respect to the dualized Hopf algebra operations). The affine algebraic group U being unipotent corresponds to a particularly natural condition on the Hopf algebra side.

Definition: Let H be a complete cocommutative Hopf algebra. Its augmentation ideal $I \trianglelefteq H$ is the kernel of the counit $\epsilon: H \rightarrow F$. For $n \geq 1$, we define I^n to be the image of the multiplication map $I^{\hat{\otimes} n} \rightarrow H$.

We say that H is I -radically complete just when, equivalently,

$$1. \bigcap_n I^n = 0$$

$$2. \text{The map } H \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (H/I^{n+1}) \text{ is an isomorphism in } \underline{\text{pro-Vec}}_F.$$

Proof of equivalence: Since cofiltered-limits in $\underline{\text{pro-Vec}}_F$ are exact, we can take the limit of the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow I^{n+1} \rightarrow H \rightarrow H/I^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

to get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigcap_n I^n \rightarrow H \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (H/I^{n+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The result follows. \square .

Proposition: U is unipotent if and only if $F[[U]]$ is I -adically complete.

Proof (omitted in lecture): There are equivalences of categories

$$\{\text{representations of } U\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{finite-dimensional } O(U)\text{-comodules}\}$$
$$\leftrightarrow \{\text{finite-dimensional } F[[U]]\text{-modules}\}$$

So it suffices to show that a complete cocommutative Hopf algebra H is I -adically complete if and only if every f.d. representation of H is unipotent, i.e. has a filtration

$$0 = \text{Fil}_{-1}V \leq \text{Fil}_0 V \leq \text{Fil}_1 V \leq \dots \leq \text{Fil}_n V = V$$

by H -submodules such that the H -action on each $\text{Fil}_i V / \text{Fil}_{i-1} V$ factors through $\varepsilon: H \rightarrow F$.

In one direction, if H is I -adically complete, then for any f.d. H -module V we can define a filtration on V by

$$I^n V := \text{im}(I^{\wedge n} \hat{\otimes} V \rightarrow V)$$

Since H is I -radically complete, we have $\bigcap_n I^n V = 0$, and since V is finite-dimensional, we have $I^n V = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. So $I^\cdot V$ is a finite filtration on V , on whose graded pieces I acts by zero. So V is unipotent.

(Conversely, suppose that every f.-cl. H -module is unipotent. We can write H as a cofiltered limit of finite-dimensional algebras H_i (this is dual to the fact that every coalgebra (in $\underline{\text{Mod}}_F$) is the union of its finite-dimensional subcoalgebras). Each H_i is an H -module in a natural way, so is unipotent, with a filtration

$$0 = \text{Fil}_{-1} H_i \leq \text{Fil}_0 H_i \leq \text{Fil}_1 H_i \leq \dots \leq \text{Fil}_m H_i = H_i$$

Since I acts trivially on each $\text{Fil}_j H_i / \text{Fil}_{j-1} H_i$, we have

$$I^n H_i \leq \text{Fil}_{m+n} H_i \text{ for all } n, \text{ so } I^n H_i = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0.$$

So $\bigcap_n I^n = \varprojlim_{i \in I} (\bigcap_n I^n H_i) = 0$ and H is

I -radically complete.

□.

Pro-unipotent groupoids

The final generalisation we need is to modify our previous definitions.

Definition: A groupoid in affine F-schemes consists of

- a set V of "vertices"
- for $x, y \in V$, an affine F -scheme $U(x, y)$
- for $x, y, z \in V$, a "composition map"

$$U(y, z) \times U(x, y) \longrightarrow U(x, z)$$

(morphism of affine F -schemes)

- for $x \in V$ an "identity" $1_x \in U(x, x)(F)$
- for $x, y \in V$, an "inverse map"

$$U(x, y) \longrightarrow U(y, x).$$

These should satisfy the usual axioms (associativity, identities, inverses).

For any vertex $x \in V$, $U(x) := U(x, x)$ is an affine group-scheme over F . We say that U is pro-unipotent just when $U(x)$ is unipro-unipotent for all $x \in V$.